A Novel Synthesis of Precocenes. Efficient Synthesis and Regioselective O-Alkylation of Dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-4-chromanones [1]

Tibor Timár*

Alkaloida Chemical Company, Tiszavasvári, H-4440, Hungary

J. Csaba Jászberényi

Research Group of Antibiotics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, L. Kossuth University, Debrecen, H-4010, Hungary Received November 16, 1987

The reactions of trihydroxybenzenes la-c and 3-methylbut-2-enoic acid (2) in a zinc chloride/water/phosphoryl chloride system afford either the new trihydroxyphenylbutenone derivatives 3b,c or dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-4-chromanones 4a-c in good yields. Compounds 3b,c can be cyclized in high yields to 4b,c in 5% sodium hydroxide solution. Regioselective O-alkylation of 4a-c leads to 5a-f in good yields. O-Alkylation of 5a-f, followed by reduction and dehydration, results in the formation of precocene 3 (7d) and its regioisomer 7a-c,e,f. Methylation of 4a-c gives 6g-i. Subsequent reduction and dehydration affords precocene 2 (7h) and its regioisomers 7g,i.

J. Heterocyclic Chem., 25, 871 (1988).

Precocenes 7d,h are proallatocidins [2]. They are bioactivated in the juvenile hormone producing organ (corpora allata) of susceptible insects [2,3]. As a consequence of this attractive biological property, several synthetic methods have been reported [4-7]. Due to the relative complexity but only moderate yields, not all of these procedures are suitable for the synthesis of precocene 2 (7h), precocene 3 (7d) (which is the most active derivative) and their regioisomers. Dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-4-chromanones 4a-c appear to be ideal intermediates, provided that a high-yield method is available for their synthesis. Regioselective alkylation is needed to obtain 7d, its regioisomers and other analogues. The available methods are summarized in Table 1.

5,7-Dihydroxychromanone (4a) has been reported to be obtained in 39-90% yield, 6,7-dihydroxychromanone (4b) in 30-42% yield, and 7,8-dihydroxychromanone (4c) in 14-30% yield (Table 1). The low reported yields for 4b and 4c prompted us to find an alternative method that is also suitable for the synthesis of 4a. The method with the highest reported yield for 4a (90%, Lit [13]) was selected as a likely possibility. However, on repeating the reported procedure on the same scale in several experiments, we found that it gave only a mixture of products in an overall yield of up to 60%.

Tlc revealed that this mixture does contain 4a, but this compound was isolated from this mixture at best in 30-40% yield (with difficulty). The same method applied to

Table 1

Reported Reactions of Trihydroxybenzenes 1a-c and 3-Methylbut-2-enoic acid (2)

No.	Reagent	Yield	Meth	od of characterisa	tion	Reference
	В	(%)	mp (°)	microanalysis	other	
4a	AlCl ₃ /C ₆ H ₅ -NO ₂	72	198	+	_	[8]
	SbCl ₃	39	196-197	+	-	[9]
	$BF_3/(C_2H_5)_2O$	65	198	+	-	[10]
	$BF_3/C_6H_5-NO_2$	82	194-195	+	_	[11]
	ZnCl ₂ /POCl ₃	67	197-198	+	_	[12]
	AlCl ₃ /POCl ₃	90	197-198	-	_	[13]
4 b	$BF_3/(C_2H_5)_2O$	30 [a]	208	+	_	[10]
	CH ₃ SO ₃ H/P ₂ O ₅	42 [a]	207-209	+	ir, pmr	[14]
4 c	AlCl ₃ /C ₆ H ₅ -NO ₂	24	142-143	+	_	[15]
	ZnCl ₂ /POCl ₃	no data	142	_	_	[12]
	PPA [b]	14	138-141	_	ir, pmr, ms	[16]
	AlCl ₃ /POCl ₃	30	142-143	_	_	[13]

Scheme

the synthesis of 4c (reported yield 30%) gave a product in the reported yield. However, the ¹H nmr spectrum demonstrated that this compound is not 4c as claimed [13] but 3c. The very similar melting points (136-138° for 3c and 142-143° for 4c) may account for this mistake. Use of this method in attempts to prepare 4b gave only a mixture of products (containing 4b). Because of the problems encountered during use of the aluminum chloride/phosphoryl chloride method [13], we tried other Lewis acids. From the chosen reagents (aluminum chloride, zinc chloride, ferric chloride, stannic chloride, antimony pentachloride, titanium(IV) chloride) in phosphoryl chloride, we found that the phosphoryl chloride/zinc chloride system was the best. An optimum amount of water (5%, normally present in unfused, commercial zinc chloride) resulted in a 10 to 100-fold decrease in reaction time compared to that for the anhydrous phosphoryl chloride/zinc chloride system [12]. It is worth noting, however, that a higher amount of water facilitates the formation of by-products, thereby reducing the yields of 4a-c and complicating the work-up. Use of the fused zinc chloride/phosphoryl chloride system enabled us to isolate 3b,c which were then cyclized in 5% aqueous sodium hydroxide solution to 4b,c (Scheme).

Regioselective alkylation with either methyl or ethyl halides resulted in the formation of the corresponding 7-O-alkylated monohydroxy intermediates 5a-f in high yields (Table 3). The efficient synthesis of these intermediates

Table 2

Reaction of Trihydroxybenzenes 1a-c and 3-Methylbut-2-enoic acid (2)

Product	Reaction time (hour)	Yield (%)	mp (°)	IR (KBr) ν (cm ⁻¹)	¹H NMR (DMSO-d ₆) δ (ppm)	MS m/e (%)
3Ь	2	88	162-164		2.00 (d, 3H, J = 1Hz, CH ₃), 2.12 (d, 3H, J = 1Hz, CH ₃), 6.32 (s, 1H, 3'-H), 6.75 (m, 1H, 2-H), 7.22 (s, 1H, 6'-H), 8.60 (broad, 1H, OH), 10.20 (broad, 1H, OH), 12.8 (s, 1H, 2'-OH)	208 (M*, 25), 193 (100), 153 (53), 152 (31)
3c	4	80	136-138	1503, 1449, 1278,	2.01 (d, 3H, J = 1 Hz, CH ₃), 2.15 (d, 3H, J = 1 Hz, CH ₃), 6.41 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 5'-H), 6.92 (m, 1H, 2-H), 7.42 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 6'-H), 8.60 (broad, 1H, OH), 10.00 (broad, 1H, OH), 13.30 (s, 1H, 2'-OH)	208 (M*, 22), 193 (100), 153 (32), 152 (33)
4a	3	82	198-199 [a]		1.45 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 2.71 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 5.92 (m, 2H, ArH), 9.47 (broad, 1H, OH), 12.10 (s, 1H, 5-OH)	208 (M*, 44), 193 (100), 153 (64), 152 (32), 124 (31)
4b	3	79	208-209 [a]		1.35 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 2.62 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 6.27 (s, 1H, 8-H), 7.05 (s, 1H, 5-H), 8.00 (broad, 1H, OH), 8.50 (broad, 1H, OH)	208 (M*, 60), 193 (100), 153 (95), 152 (72), 124 (12)
4 c	5	80	142-143 [a]	1670, 1607, 1465,	1.37 (s, $6H$, $2CH_3$), 2.57 (s, $2H$, CH_2), 6.32 (d, $1H$, $J = 10$ Hz, $6-H$), 7.07 (d, $1H$, $J = 10$ Hz, $5-H$), 8.20 (broad, $2H$, OH)	208 (M*, 56), 193 (60), 153 (85), 152 (100), 124 (22)

Table 3
7-O-Alkylated-monohydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-4-chromanones 5a-f Prepared

				,				•	
No.	R	Position of OH	Reaction time (hour) [a]	Yield (%) [b]	mp (°)	Molecular formula or mp (°) reported	IR (KBr) ν (cm ⁻¹)	¹ H NMR (deuteriochloroform) δ (ppm)	MS m/e (%)
5a	CH ₃	5	5	90	69-70	48-49 [17] 65-66 [18] 61-64 [19]	3424, 2971, 1643	1.47 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 2.71 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 3.81 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 5.95 (d, 1H, J = 2 Hz, ArH), 6.03 (d, 1H, J = 2 Hz, ArH), 12.2 (s, 1H, 5-OH)	222 (M*, 40), 207 (100), 167 (62), 166 (25), 138 (28)
5Ь	C ₂ H ₅	5	6	82	73-75	C13H16O4	3432, 2979, 1631	1.40 (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 1.46 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 2.68 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 4.03 (q, 2H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 5.92 (d, 1H, J = 2 Hz, ArH), 6.00 (d, J = 2 Hz, ArH), 12.01 (s, 1H, 5-OH)	236 (M*, 56), 221 (100), 193 (26), 181 (43), 153 (27)
5e	CH,	6	1	85	143-144	98-100 [14] 135-136 [20] 150-151 [16]	3400 2960 1670	1.45 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 2.66 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 3.91 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 5.41 (s, 1H, 6-OH), 6.42 (s, 1H, 8-H), 7.35 (s, 1H, 5-H)	207 (100), 167 (92)
5 d	C ₂ H ₅	6	2	80	129-131	C ₁₃ H ₁₆ O ₄	3570, 2980, 1675,	1.45 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 1.51 (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 2.67 (s, 2H, CH_2), 4.11 (q, 2H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 5.35 (s, 1H, 6-OH), 6.37 (s, 1H, 8-H), 7.36 (s, 1H, 5-H)	236 (M*, 66), 221 (100), 193 (29), 180 (71), 153 (52)
5e	СН,	8	4	64	78-80	C ₁₂ H ₁₄ O ₄	3180, 2970, 1670,	1.51 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 2.72 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 3.95 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 6.02 (broad, 1H, 8-OH), 6.62 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 6-H), 7.47 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 5-H)	222 (M ⁺ , 65), 207 (92), 167 (100), 166 (42), 138 (68)
5f	C ₂ H ₅	8	7	62	141-143	C ₁₈ H ₁₆ O ₄	3464, 2975, 1690	1.46 (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 1.52 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 2.72 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 4.21 (q, 2H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 5.48 (s, 1H, 8-OH), 6.58 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 6-H), 7.45 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 5-H)	236 (M*, 47), 221 (60), 181 (67), 152 (100), 123 (32)

[[]a] Averaged times, based on the gc monitoring of the reaction. [b] Yield of recrystallized compound (from ethanol).

ates enabled us to obtain precocene 3 (7d) and its regioisomers 7a-c,e,f in high overall yields (Table 5). Precocene 2 (7h) and its regioisomers 7g,i were also obtained via the route 4a-c \rightarrow 6a-i \rightarrow 7g-i.

The efficiency and high yields of the processes thus developed for the synthesis of the dihydroxychromanones 4a-c and the monoalkylated derivatives 5a-f made it possible to obtain a wide range of analogues of the natural bioactive precocenes, these are of potential agricultural use [22].

EXPERIMENTAL

Trihydroxybenzenes and 3-methylbut-2-enoic acid were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. Methyl iodide and ethyl bromide were obtained from EGA. Phosphoryl chloride was of Merck-Schuchardt. Aluminum chloride was used either as purchased or freshly resublimed without causing any change in the outcome of the reactions. Solvents were purchased from Reanal (Hungary). Melting points were determined on a

PHMK hot plate melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded in potassium bromide pellets or neat films on a Perkin-Elmer 283B instrument. Mass Spectra were obtained on a VG 7035 instrument in the EI mode (70 eV, direct inlet). The ¹H nmr spectra were obtained at ambient temperature on a Bruker WP-200 SY instrument at 200 MHz. The ¹³C nmr spectra were recorded on the same spectrometer at 50.3 MHz. A Fractovap 2300 chromatograph (Carlo-Erba) was used for gc analysis. Microanalysis data (L. Kossuth University, Debrecen, Hungary) are summarized in Table 7.

1-(2',4',5'-trihydroxyphenyl)-3-methyl-1-oxo-2-butene (3b).

Typical Procedure.

To a stirred mixture of phosphoryl chloride (1352 g, 800 ml, 8.81 moles), and 3-methylbut-2-enoic acid (2, 100.2 g, 1 mole), fused zinc chloride (200 g, 1.47 moles) and hydroxyhydroquinone (1b, 126.1 g, 1 mole) were added. The mixtue was stirred at 25° for 2 hours, and then poured onto crushed ice (5000 g). The separated solid was filtered, washed with water and dried. The crude product 3b thus obtained, 183.2 g (88%) was sufficiently pure for use in the following reaction step. It can be purified by recrystallization from ethanol/water, mp 162-164°. Other data are given in Table 2.

6,7-Dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-4-chromanone (4b).

Table 4
Dialkoxy-2,2-dimethyl-4-chromanones 6a-i Prepared

No.	R	R¹	Position of OR ¹	Reaction time (hour)	Yield (%) [a]	mp (°)	IR (KBr) ν (cm ⁻¹)	^{1}H NMR (deuteriochloroform) δ (ppm)	MS m/e (%)
6а	CH3	C_2H_5	5	50	89	60-62	1678	1.45 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 1.51 (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 2.63 (s, 2H, CH_2), 3.82 (s, 3H, CH_3O), 4.07 (q, 2H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 6.01 (m, 2H, ArH)	250 (M*, 87), 235 (40), 221 (100)
6b	C ₂ H ₅	CH ₃	5	40	84	147-148	1674	1.46 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃ , + t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O , 2.66 (s, 2H, CH_2), 3.91 (s, 3H, CH_3O), 4.06 (q, 2H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 6.03 (m, 2H, ArH)	250 (M ⁺ , 10), 235 (85), 221 (100)
6c	CH ₃	C ₂ H ₅	6	12	85	88-90	1680	1.45 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃ , + t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH ₃ CH ₂ O), 2.67 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 3.90 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 4.11 (q, 2H, J = 7 Hz, CH ₃ CH ₂ O), 6.40 (s, 1H, 8-H), 7.27 (s, 1H, 5-H)	250 (M*, 83), 235 (100)
6d	C ₂ H ₅	СН₃	6	15	88	124-126	1675	1.45 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 1.50 (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 2.66 (s, 2H, CH_2), 3.86 (s, 3H, CH_3O), 4.13 (q, 2H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2 -O), 6.38 (s, 1H, 8-H), 7.28 (s, 1H, 5-H)	250 (M*, 69), 235 (100)
6e	CH ₃	C ₂ H ₅	8	8	86	51-52	1684	1.38 (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 1.50 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 2.70 (s, 2H, CH_2), 3.91 (s, 3H, CH_3O), 4.07 (q, 2H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2 - O), 6.60 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 6-H), 7.65 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 5-H)	250 (M*, 100), 235 (88)
6f	C ₂ H ₅	СНа	8	10	83	oil	1683	1.47 (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 1.51 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 2.68 (s, 2H, CH_2), 3.85 (s, 3H, CH_3O), 4.15 (q, 2H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2 - O), 6.58 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 6-H), 7.62 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 5-H)	250 (M*, 52), 235 (100)
6g	СН₃	CH ₃	5	60	92	104-105 [b]	1684	1.45 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 2.66 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 3.81 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 3.89 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 6.04 (m, 2H, ArH)	236 (M ⁺ , 55), 221 (25), 180 (100)
6h	СН₃	CH ₃	6	8	94	105-106 [c]	1670	1.47 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 2.67 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 3.86 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 3.92 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 6.42 (s, 1H, 8-H), 7.27 (s, 1H, 5-H)	236 (M*, 60), 221 (100)
6 i	CH ₃	CH ₃	8	5	93	75-76 [d]	1690	1.50 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 2.67 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 3.85 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 3.91 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 6.60 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 6-H), 7.64 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 5-H)	236 (M*, 78), 221 (90), 181 (100)

[[]a] Yield of recrystallized compound (from ethanol). [b] Lit mp 104-105° [12]. [c] Lit mp 106° [10,14]. [d] Lit mp 76° [15].

Table 5
Dialkoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromenes 7a-i Prepared

No.	R	R¹	Position of OR ¹		ime (hour) [a] Dehydration	Yield (%) [b]	¹ H NMR (deuteriochloroform) δ (ppm)	MS m/e (%)
7a	СН₃	C₂H₅	5	1.5	2	87	1.41 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃ + t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 3.75 (s, 3H, CH_3O), 3.97 (q, 2H, $J = 7$ Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 5.41 (d, 1H, $J = 10$ Hz, 3-H), 6.01 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.60 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 4-H)	234 (M*, 40), 219 (100), 191 (29)

	7b	C ₂ H ₅	СН,	5	1	2.5	80	1.38 (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 1.42 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 3.77 (s, 3H, CH_3O), 3.98 (q, 2H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 5.40 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 3-H), 6.02 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.57 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 4-H)	234 (M*, 45), 219 (100), 191 (28)
	7c	СН₃	C ₂ H ₅	6	1.5	1	89	1.43 (s, $6H$, $2CH_3 + t$, $3H$, $J = 7$ Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 3.83 (s, $3H$, CH_2O), 4.01 (q, $2H$, $J = 7$ Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 5.46 (d, $1H$, $J = 10$ Hz, $3-H$), 6.22 (d, $1H$, $J = 10$ Hz, $4-H$), 6.42 (s, $1H$, $4H$), 6.55 (s, $1H$, $4H$)	234 (M*, 54), 219 (100), 191 (47)
	7 d	C ₂ H ₅	СН3	6	2	1.5	85 [c]	1.42 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 1.48 (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 3.80 (s, 3H, CH_3O), 4.05 (q, 2H, $J = 7$ Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 5.45 (d, 1H, $J = 10$ Hz, 3-H), 6.22 (d, 1H, $J = 10$ Hz, 4-H), 6.40 (s, 1H, ArH), 6.53 (s, 1H, ArH)	234 (M ⁺ , 77), 219 (100), 191 (80)
•	7e	СН,	C ₂ H ₅	8	1	2	82	1.37 (t, 3H, $J = 7$ Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 1.47 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 3.83 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 4.12 (q, 2H, $J = 7$ Hz, CH_3CH_2O), 5.50 (d, 1H, $J = 10$ Hz, 3-H), 6.26 (d, 1H, $J = 10$ Hz, 4-H), 6.40 (d, 1H, $J = 8$ Hz, ArH), 6.66 (d, 1H, $J = 8$ Hz, ArH)	234 (M*, 29), 219 (100), 191 (18)
•	7 f	C ₂ H ₅	СН3	8	1.5	2.5	84	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	234 (M*, 31), 219 (100), 191 (25)
•	7g	СН₃	CH ₃	5	2	2	90	1.42 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 3.75 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 3.80 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 5.40 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 3-H), 6.02 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.57 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 4-H)	220 (M ⁺ , 35), 205 (100),
•	7 h	CH ₃	СН,	6	1.5	1	89 [d]	1.42 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 3.80 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 3.85 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 5.41 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 3-H), 6.26 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 4-H), 6.42 (s, 1H, ArH), 6.57 (s, 1H, ArH)	220 (M*, 48), 205 (100)
	7i	СН₃	СН₃	8	1	1.5	92	1.47 (s, 6H, 2CH ₃), 3.80 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 3.84 (s, 3H, CH ₃ O), 5.51 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 3-H), 6.24 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 4-H), 6.42 (d, 1H, J = 8 Hz, ArH), 6.67 (d, 1H, J = 8 Hz, ArH)	220 (M ⁺ , 38), 205 (100)

[a] Averaged times based on the monitoring of the reaction. [b] Yield based on the amount of **6a-i**. [c] Precocene 3 [7]. [d] Precocene 2 (mp 45-46°, reported mp 46-47° [21]).

Typical Procedure.

Compound **3b** (104 g, 0.5 mole) was dissolved in 5% aqueous sodium hydroxide solution (1800 ml) and stirred at 25° for 1 hour. The solution was cooled to below 10° and acidified to pH 1 with concentrated hydrochloric acid. The solid was filtered, washed with water and dried. The crude product was recrystallized from ethanol/water, 95.7 g (92%), mp 208-209°. Other data are given in Table 2.

7,8-Dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-4-chromanone (4c).

Typical Procedure.

Pyrogallol (1c, 126.1 g, 1 mole), 3-methylbut-2-enoic acid (2, 100.2 g, 1 mole), zinc chloride (unfused, 200 g, 1.39 moles) and phosphoryl chloride (1352 g, 800 ml, 8.81 moles) were mixed and stirred at 50° for 5 hours. The reaction mixture was worked up as described above. The crude product 4c was crystallized from ethanol/water to give prisms, 166.5 g (80%), mp 142-143°. Other data are given in Table 2.

7-O-Alkylated-monohydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-4-chromanones **5a-f**. General Procedure.

The starting dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-4-chromanones 4a-c (20.8 g, 0.1 mole) were dissolved in N,N-dimethylformamide (100 ml) and stirred with potassium carbonate (16.5 g, 0.12 mole) (and potassium iodide (0.5 g) in those cases when the alkyl halide was not an iodide) and methyl iodide or ethyl bromide (0.11-0.14 mole, depending on the boiling point and reactivity) at 80°. (Reaction times and other data are listed in Table 3). When the reaction was completed, the inorganic solid was filtered and the solvent was removed under vacuum. A 5% solution of sodium hydroxide (150 ml) was added and the mixture was extracted twice with dichloromethane (100-100 ml). The alkaline aqueous solution was acidified with concentrated hydrochloric acid to pH 1 (at or below 10°) and the precipitate formed 5 was filtered, washed with water, dried and recrystallized from ethanol or ethanol/water.

Table O

		•	Jan Doll Cilcill	icai Sillits 10	r compound	s /a-ı (ın deu	incar simus for Compounds (a-1 (in deuteriochlorotorm)	(i					
Compound	C-2	د -3	C-4	C-4a	C-5	9-)	C-7	8-D	C-8a	C-9, C-10	осн,	0СН2СН3	осн, <i>с</i> н,
7a	76.18	125.79	117.00	104.10	161.13	92.53	155.64	94.23	154.47	27.86	55.31	63.95	14.76
4 2	76.05	125.69	116.70	104.00	160.30	91.92	156.10	94.53	154.60	27.69	55.41	63.39	14.70
7c	75.85	128.08	122.04	113.23	112.62	150.58	147.65	101.38	142.34	27.73	55.86	65.48	15.01
7d	75.77	128.08	122.09	113.22	110.77	149.32	147.44	102.46	143.56	27.69	56.74	64.28	14.77
7e	76.17	128.29	122.09	116.17	120.49	105.64	153.90	138.10	146.68	27.88	56.01	64.53	14.83
#	76.21	128.37	122.21	116.26	120.75	105.67	152.94	138.20	146.59	27.88	60.59	64.50	14.94
82	76.05	125.73	116.66	104.17	161.00	91.38	156.10	94.05	154.65	27.70	55.39	1	I
											55.16		
ų.	75.94	128.19	121.94	113.12	110.09	149.84	147.35	101.18	143.20	27.67	56.64	ı	ı
											55.90		
i:	76.29	128.44	122.16	116.33	120.80	104.16	153.60	137.76	146.49	27.89	60.70	ı	ı

Table 7
Microanalysis Data of Precocenes 7a-i and Intermediates 3-6

No.	Molecular	Anal./	Calcd.	Anal./	Found
	formula	C(%)	H(%)	C(%)	H(%)
3b	$C_{11}H_{12}O_{4}$	63.45	5.81	63.74	5.90
3c	$C_{11}H_{12}O_{4}$	63.45	5.81	63.70	5.71
4 a	$C_{11}H_{12}O_{4}$	63.45	5.81	63.58	5.88
4b	$C_{11}H_{12}O_{4}$	63.45	5.81	63.67	5.74
4 c	$C_{11}H_{12}O_{4}$	63.45	5.81	63.80	5.82
5a	$C_{12}H_{14}O_4$	64.85	6.30	64.97	6.38
5b	$C_{13}H_{16}O_{4}$	66.08	6.83	66.30	6.90
5c	$C_{12}H_{14}O_4$	64.85	6.30	64.55	6.20
5d	$C_{13}H_{16}O_{4}$	66.08	6.83	66.12	6.88
5e	$C_{12}H_{14}O_{4}$	64.85	6.30	64.70	6.26
5f	$C_{13}H_{16}O_{4}$	66.08	6.83	66.20	6.92
6a	$C_{14}H_{18}O_4$	67.18	7.25	67.26	7.22
6b	$C_{14}H_{18}O_{4}$	67.18	7.25	67.30	7.30
6c	$C_{14}H_{18}O_4$	67.18	7.25	67.02	7.32
6d	$C_{14}H_{18}O_4$	67.18	7.25	67.40	7.20
6e	$C_{14}H_{18}O_{4}$	67.18	7.25	67.35	7.31
6f	$C_{14}H_{18}O_{4}$	67.18	7.25	67.20	7.26
6 g	$C_{13}H_{16}O_{4}$	66.08	6.83	66.22	6.90
6h	$C_{18}H_{16}O_{4}$	66.08	6.83	66.30	6.87
6i	$C_{13}H_{16}O_{4}$	66.08	6.83	66.09	6.80
7a	$C_{14}H_{18}O_3$	71.77	7.74	71.87	7.80
7b	$C_{14}H_{18}O_{3}$	71.77	7.74	71.90	7.83
7c	$C_{14}H_{18}O_{3}$	71.77	7.74	71.94	7.70
7d	$C_{14}H_{18}O_{3}$	71.77	7.74	71.70	7.69
7e	$C_{14}H_{18}O_{3}$	71.77	7.74	71.80	7.72
7 f	$C_{14}H_{18}O_3$	71.77	7.74	71.97	7.78
7 g	$C_{13}H_{16}O_{3}$	70.85	7.32	70.90	7.33
7h	$C_{18}H_{16}O_{3}$	70.85	7.32	70.96	7.40
7 i	$C_{13}H_{16}O_{3}$	70.85	7.32	70.87	7.30

2,2-Dimethyldialkoxychromanones 6a-f.

General Procedure.

Monohydroxychromanones 5a-f (0.1 mole) were dissolved in N,N-dimethylformamide (100 ml) and stirred with potassium carbonate (27.6 g, 0.2 mole) (and potassium iodide in those cases when the alkyl halide was not an iodide) and methyl iodide or ethyl bromide (0.2-0.3 mole) at 80°. (Reaction times and other data are listed in Table 4). The mixture was then poured onto crushed ice (300 g), the precipitate was filtered, washed with water, dried and recrystallized from ethanol. When the product was separated as an oil, then the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 100 ml). The combined dichloromethane layer was washed with 3% aqueous sodium hydroxide solution (2 x 100 ml), water (2 x 100), brine (2 x 100 ml) and dried with magnesium sulfate. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue thus obtained was crystallized from ethanol.

2,2-Dimethyldimethoxychromanones 6g-i.

General Procedure.

Dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-4-chromanones 4a-c (0.1 mole) were dissolved

877

in N,N-dimethylformamide (150 ml) and stirred with potassium carbonate (41.4 g, 0.3 mole) and methyl iodide (0.3-0.5 mole) at 80°. The reaction mixture was poured onto crushed ice (300 g), filtered, the precipitate washed with water and recrystallized. Reaction times, yields and other data are in Table 4.

Dialkoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromenes 7a-i Including Precocene 2 (7h) and Precocene 3 (7d).

General Procedure.

The corresponding dialkoxy-2,2-dimethyl-4-chromanone 6a-i (0.1 mole) was dissolved in methanol (200 ml) and stirred at 25° for the time given in Table 5. During this time sodium borohydride (10 g, 0.26 mole) was added in portions to the reaction mixture. The solvent was removed in vacuum and water (200 ml) was added to the residue. This mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 100 ml). The extract was washed with water (3 x 100 ml), dried with sodium sulfate and the solvent evaporated. The residue was then dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (150 ml) and treated with 4M hydrochloric acid (200 ml) at (or below) 25°. (Reaction times for the individual chromenes are listed in Table 5). The reaction mixture was subsequently extracted with ether (3 x 100 ml), and the combined ether layers were washed with 2% aqueous sodium hydroxide solution (2 x 100 ml), water (3 x 100 ml), and brine (2 x 100 ml), and dried with sodium sulfate. The solvent was evaporated in vacuum. Yields of 7a-i (based on 6a-i) and other data are given in Table 5. Analytical samples were obtained by column chromatography (Silica gel, hexane/ether = 9/1).

Acknowledgements.

Generous support of this work by the Alkaloida Chemical Company, the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and the L. Kossuth University (Debrecen, Hungary) is gratefully acknowledged. We also thank Dr. Éva Dávid and her staff for the microanalyses. This work is dedicated to Professor Dr. Rezsö Bognár on the occasion of his 75th birthday.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- [1] Preliminary results were presented at the 6th International Congress of Pesticide Chemistry (IUPAC), Ottawa, Canada, August, 1986.
- [2] W. S. Bowers in "Comprehensive Insect Physiology, Biochemistry and Pharmacology", Vol 8, "Endocrinology II", L. I. Gilbert and G.

- A. Kerkut, eds, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1985, p 551.
- [3] G. E. Pratt in "Natural Products for Innovative Pest Management", Vol 2, T. R. Odhiambo, ed, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1983, p 323.
- [4] T. Ohta and W. S. Bowers, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 25, 2788 (1977);
 A. Bongini, G. Cardillo, M. Orena, G. Porzi and S. Sandri, Tetrahedron Letters, 2545 (1979).
- [5] D. J. Gale and J. F. K. Wilshire, J. Text. Inst., 70, 525 (1979); A.
 Banerji and N. C. Goomer, Indian J. Chem. Sect. B, 20, 144 (1981).
- [6] F. Camps in "Bioregulators for Pest Control", No. 276, ACS Symposium Series, P. A. Hedin, ed, 1985, p 237 and references cited therein; J. M. Cortes, G. R. Haddad and J. A. Valderrama, *Heterocycles*, 22, 1951 (1984).
- [7] E. Kiehlmann, J. E. Conn and J. H. Borden, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 14, 337 (1982).
- [8] W. Bridge, R. G. Heyes and A. Robertson, J. Chem. Soc., 279 (1937).
 - [9] M. Miyano and M. Mitsui, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 31, 397 (1958).
 - [10] H. B. Bhat and K. Venkataraman, Tetrahedron, 19, 77 (1963).
- [11] M. Nakajima, H. Fukami, K. Kanishi and J. Oda, Agr. Biol. Chem., 27, 700 (1963).
 - [12] P. R. Iyer and G. D. Shah, Indian J. Chem., 6, 227 (1968).
 - [13] D. Sowmithran and K. J. Rajendra Prasad, Synthesis, 545 (1985).
- [14] F. Camps, J. Coll, A. Messeguer, M. A. Pericas, S. Ricart, W. S. Bowers and D. M. Soderlund, *Synthesis*, 725 (1980).
- [15] J. H. Richards, A. Robertson and J. J. Ward, J. Chem. Soc., 1610 (1948).
- [16] P. Anastasis and P. E. Brown, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I, 2013 (1982).
- [17] A. Ninagawa, M. Nakamura and H. Matsuda, *Makromol. Chem.*, 183, 1969 (1982).
 - [18] S. W. George and A. Robertson, J. Chem. Soc., 1535 (1937).
 - [19] G. H. Stout and K. L. Stevens, J. Org. Chem., 29, 3604 (1964).
- [20] Gan Lixian and Wu Biqi, Huaxue Xuebao, 39, 777 (1981); Chem. Abstr., 97, 198389c (1982).
- [21] J. Hlubucek, E. Ritchie and W. C. Taylor, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 24, 2347 (1971).
- [22] Z. Dinya, T. Timár, S. Hosztafi, A. Fodor, P. Deák, A. Somogyi and M. Berényi in "QSAR and Strategies in the Design of Bioactive Compounds", Proceedings of the 5th European Symposium on QSAR, Bad Segeberg, FRG, 1984, J. K. Seydel, ed, VCH, Weinheim, 1985, p 403; Chem. Abstr., 104, 16510t (1986).